

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019331

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

Int.Cl⁷ C08F299/00, C08F2/46, C08F20/00, C08F22/40

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

Int.Cl⁷ C08F299/00-299/08, C08F2/00-2/60, C08F20/00-20/70,
C08F22/00-22/40

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

WPI/L

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|-----------|--|-----------------------|
| X | JP 2002-145971 A (Japan Science and Technology Corp.), 22 May, 2002 (22.05.02), Claims; Par. Nos. [0003], [0015] (Family: none) | 9-11,14 |
| X | JP 5-117481 A (Asahi Glass Co., Ltd.), 14 May, 1993 (14.05.93), Claims; Par. No. [0059] (Family: none) | 9-14 |
| X | JP 2000-251676 A (Canon Inc.), 14 September, 2000 (14.09.00), Claims; Par. Nos. [0183] to [0185] (Family: none) | 15-20 |

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex.

| | |
|---|--|
| * Special categories of cited documents: | |
| "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance | "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention |
| "E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date | "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone |
| "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) | "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art |
| "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means | "&" document member of the same patent family |
| "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed | |

Date of the actual completion of the international search
24 March, 2005 (24.03.05)Date of mailing of the international search report
12 April, 2005 (12.04.05)Name and mailing address of the ISA/
Japanese Patent Office

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019331

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

| Category* | Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages | Relevant to claim No. |
|-----------|---|-----------------------|
| X | JP 2003-277987 A (Tayca Corp.), 02 October, 2003 (02.10.03), Claims; Par. No. [0010] (Family: none) | 15-20 |
| X | JP 2003-128409 A (Ube Industries, Ltd.), 08 May, 2003 (08.05.03), Claims; Par. No. [0061] & EP 1244165 A2 & US 2002132159 A1 | 15-19 |

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019331

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:

2. Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:

3. Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

The invention of claims 1-8 is directed to a process for producing a polymer having juts from a photocurable compound having two or more unsaturated bonds in accordance with a specified procedure.

The invention of claims 9-14 is directed to a polymer having juts per se not limited by the above compound and above process.

The invention of claims 15-20 is directed to a polymer having juts and containing an additive component per se not limited by the above compound and above process.

It does not appear that these constitute one invention or a group of inventions linked with each other so as to form a single general inventive concept.
(continued to extra sheet)

1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:

4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
 No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019331

Continuation of Box No.III of continuation of first sheet (2)

Therefore, it is apparent that the inventions of claims 1-20 do not satisfy the requirement of unity of invention.